(*b*) Bangalore—Nil.
Kolar – Under correspondence.
Tumkur—Nil.
Mysore—Nil.
Mandya—Nil.
Hassan—Under consideration in one case.
Chikmagalur—Nil.
Shimoga—Nil.
Chitaldrug—Nil.

(*c*) *Bangalore*

In one case, the driver of the Road Transport Department was fined Rs. 20 and in default he was sentenced to undergo one week's simple imprisonment. He was also dismissed from service and security deposit forfeited to Government.

Kolar

The driver has been warned.

Tumkur

Nil.

Mysore

(1) Driver was fined Rs. 20 and in default to undergo simple imprisonment for ten days.
(2) Driver was fined Rs. 25 and in default to undergo S.I. for one week.
(3) Driver was fined Rs. 25 and in default to undergo S.I. for ten days.
(4) Investigation is proceeding in two cases.
(5) Driver's license has been suspended pending enquiry.

Mandya

(1) Driver was sentenced to pay a fine of Rs. 50.
(2) One case is under investigation.

Hassan

(1) Driver was fined Rs. 25.
(2) Licenses of the driver and conductor were cancelled.
(3) In two cases complaints were registered and B-report accepted.
(4) Driver and conductor of the Road Transport Department were dismissed from service and their security deposits forfeited to Government.

Chikmagalur

The drivers were convicted and sentenced to pay fines.

Shimoga

(1) Driver was fined Rs. 25.
(2) Four cases are under investigation.

Chitaldrug

Nil.

Constituting a Public Service Commission for Mysore.

*Q.—195. Janab SYED ABDUR RAHMAN (Chikmagalur *cum* Shimoga–Muslim).—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) when the Public Service Commission will be constituted for Mysore;
(*b*) if they have come to a conclusion about the personnel of the Commission;
(*c*) if it is a fact that members of minority communities usually form the personnel of the Public Service Commission in other Provinces in India; whether this will be kept in view when forming the Commission?

*A.—Sri H. SIDDAIYA (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—

(*a*) and (*b*)
This is under consideration.

(*c*) We have no information regarding this; the matter will be examined.

UNSTARRED QUESTION

Termination of the Services of Sri R. Puttaraj Urs.

Q.—11. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Kolar District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) when Sri R. Puttaraj Urs joined service in the Mysore State;
(*b*) when his services were determined;

(*c*) when he should have retired in the normal course of things under the Mysore Service Regulation;

(*d*) the reasons for the termination of his service?

A.—Sri K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) On the 7th April 1920.

(*b*) On the 26th January 1950.

(*c*) He had no lien on any Civil Service post.

On the 5th June 1953 as a Judge of the High Court under the Mysore Service Regulations on completion of 57 years of age.

(*d*) It is not necessary to disclose the reasons, in public interest.

Constitution of a Committee to advise on the provisions for the formation of a separate Legislature Secretariat.

Mr. SPEAKER (Sri V. Venkatappa).—I. Article 187 of the Constitution of India requires that a House of a State should have a separate Secretariat staff. Clause (2) of this article empowers the Legislature by law to regulate the recruitment and the conditions of service of persons appointed to such Secretariat staff of the Legislature. By clause (3) of the same article, until provision is so made by the Legislature under clause (2), the Rajpramukh after consultation with the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly has to frame rules regulating these matters.

II. As observed by the Hon'ble Dr. Ambedkar when he moved for the adoption of this article in the Constituent Assembly of India, this article as well as its counterpart in relation to Parliament, were introduced as a result of an unanimous recommendation made at the Speakers' Conference held early that year. Though that Conference recommended that the Constitution should contain many other provisions also on this subject, the Drafting Committee felt that they might be left to be regulated by the concerned Legislatures. In fact article 187 does provide for the enactment of such legislation. The primary intention behind this article being therefore that the House should, by law, make provisions for these matters, I thought that it would be in keeping with the spirit of the article for the representatives of this House to be associated even in respect of the interim rules that have to be framed under clause 3. Before making my recommendations to the Rajpramukh for the issue of those rules, I should like to have the advice and opinion of some of the Hon'ble Members. I therefore propose to set up a committee of the following Hon'ble Members:—

1. Sri H. C. Dasappa.
2. Sri L. H. Thimma Boi.
3. Janab J. Mahomed Imam.
4. Sri D. H. Chandrasekharaiya.
5. Sri M. Govinda Reddy.
6. Sri H. Siddananjappa.

I shall be the Chairman of the committee.

This committee will consider how the independence of the Secretariat of the Legislature could be secured and would also examine the conditions of service and other matters incidental thereto.

I might add that on an enquiry made in this behalf in January this year my office forwarded to Government certain interim proposals of mine in this matter.

STATEMENT *re.*

Hon'ble Speaker's Visit to Delhi and Madras.

Mr. SPEAKER (Sri V. Venkatappa).—As Hon'ble Members are aware, I proceeded to Madras in April and in May to Delhi, this year to study the working of the Secretariat of the Madras Legislature and of the Parliament and to watch the two Houses in Session. In this connection, I should like to make some observations to members. But as what I am going to say may be somewhat lengthy, I have got the matter printed and it will be distributed to the members today.*

---

*Copy of the statement placed in Legislative Assembly Library.